

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/052,313	01/18/2002	Robert L. Hodges	10004054 -1	8400	
75	90 03/31/2004		EXAM	INER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration			LEE, HSIE	LEE, HSIEN MING	
P.O. Box 27240	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, Co	O 80627-2400		2823		
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/21/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Vm
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/052,313	HODGES ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hsien-Ming Lee	2823	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. i.136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ate, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this com  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
<ul> <li>1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Th</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal mat	<del>-</del>	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 7-13,19-33 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) 24-31 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7-13,19-23,32 and 33 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according a specific and a specific	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure	nts have been received. nts have been received in a iority documents have been	Application No	itage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies no	t received.	
		•	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/052,313

Art Unit: 2823

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 7, 9-13 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gardner (US 6,200,862).

In re claims 7, 9, and 12, Gardner et al. teach the claimed method (Fig. 2A-2C), comprising:

- depositing a layer of oxide 40 proximate a first surface (i.e. a top surface) of a semiconductor substrate 10 (p-type silicon, col.3, lines 24-31);
- forming a gate oxide layer 22 on the first surface, adjacent to the deposited oxide layer 40;
- forming a pair of active areas 28/34 in the first surface, adjacent to the deposited oxide layer 40 and gate oxide layer 22 because active areas 28/34 are right under the deposited oxide layer 40 and active areas 28/34 are also proximate to the gate oxide layer 22 with at least a point contact (Fig.2B);
- forming a gate electrode 24 by depositing a conductive layer (polysilicon, col.3, lines 57-58) over the gate oxide layer 22 (Fig.2A);

Art Unit: 2823

- depositing a dielectric layer 46 over the gate electrode 24,active region 28/34, and deposited oxide layer 40 (Fig.2C); and
- forming electrical contacts 44 to the pairs of active areas 28/34 and the gate electrode 24.

In re claim 10, Gardner et al. also teach that the active areas 28/34 are formed by impurity implant and diffusion, i.e. forming source/drains (col.4, 5-30).

In re claim 11, Gardner et al. also teach that the active areas 28/34 are n-doped regions when channel is p-type (col. 3, lines 30-31 and col.4, lines 2-5).

In re claim 13, Gardner et al. also teach that the dielectric layer 46 is silicon dioxide (col. 4, lines 62-63).

In re claim 32, Gardner et al. also teach a semiconductor device (i.e. a insulated gate transistor or IGFET) produced by the method of claim 7.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gardner et al. (US '862) in view of Liu et al. (US 2003/0081070).

Gardner et al. teach all limitations, as stated above, but do not teach thermally growing a thermal oxide layer before depositing the layer of oxide on the first surface of the semiconductor substrate.

However, Liu et al., in an analogous art, teach thermally growing a thermal oxide layer 32 before depositing the layer of oxide 36 on the first surface of the semiconductor substrate 25 (Figs 3A-3B).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to thermally grow the oxide, as taught by Liu et al, before depositing the oxide layer of Gardner et al., since by doing so it would provide a better electrical insulation for adjacent layers.

5. Claims 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhaskar et al. (US 5,635,968) in view of Tseng (US 2002/0058368).

Bhaskar et al., in Figs. 9-13 and related text, teach a method of forming a semiconductor device (i.e. a thermal inkjet print head) comprising:

- depositing a layer of oxide 903 (i.e. a CVD-deposited silicon dioxide, col. 10,
   lines32-34) proximate a first surface (i.e. top surface) of a semiconductor substrate
   901(Fig.9);
- exposing a portion (i.e. an opening as shown in Fig. 9) of the first surface of the semiconductor substrate 901; and
- forming a field effect transistor (i.e. FET) 905 on the exposed portion of the first surface of the substrate having the deposited oxide layer 903, wherein said FET 905 includes a gate electrode 909 with associated active areas 907 and 911.

Bhaskar et al. are silent as to the associated active areas 907 and 911 are formed after the exposing in the first surface of the semiconductor substrate 901.

Tseng, in an analogous art of forming FET, teaches forming a deposited oxide 48 on the substrate 42 (Fig.2A); exposing a first surface (i.e. top surface) of the substrate 42 via a formation of a trench 51 (Fig.2B); and forming active area 52 (i.e. impurity region) by ion implanting through the trench 51 after exposing the first surface (Fig.2B).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to form the associated active are with the FET in Bhaskar et al. in a manner taught by Tseng so that the active areas are formed after exposing the first surface of the substrate, since by doing so it would precisely control a desired location for forming the active areas associated with FET.

6. Claim 19-21 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhaskar et al.(US '968) in view of Saul (US 6,318,846).

In re claims 19-21, Bhaskar et al., in Figs. 9-13 and related text teach the claimed method of manufacturing a semiconductor device (i.e. a thermal inkjet print head) comprising:

- depositing a current prevention layer 903 (i.e. a CVD-deposited silicon dioxide, col.
   10, lines32-34) proximate a first surface of a semiconductor substrate 901(Fig.9); and
- forming a field effect transistor (i.e. FET) 905, wherein said FET includes a gate electrode 909 with associated active areas 907 and 911 formed in the first surface of the semiconductor substrate 901 having the deposited current prevention layer 903; and forming a firing chamber 1307 above the current prevention layer 903 (Fig. 13).

In contrast, Bhaskar et al. teach a single transistor 905 but do not teach plural transistors including a first FET and a second FET, wherein the current prevention layer includes a region

Application/Control Number: 10/052,313

Art Unit: 2823

that minimizes current flow between the active areas of the first FET with respect to the active area of the second FET.

Page 6

Saul, in an analogous art of forming a thermal inkjet print head, teach forming a plurality of FETs, which at least includes a first and a second FETs and the FETs share a common ground and have their source coupled to V+ through a corresponding heater resistor, on a substrate for the purpose of improving reliability of the print head (col. 5, lines 21-35 and 48-64; col. 10, lines 6-15; col. 11, lines 5-17 and 35-47 and col. 12, lines 49-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the method of Bhaskar et al in a manner suggested by Saul so that plural FETs, at least including the first and second FETs, are formed on the substrate in a such way that the first and second FETs are separated by the current prevent layer (i.e. a dielectric layer) and the current flow between the active areas (i.e. first source/drain regions) of the first FET with respect to the active areas (i.e. second source/drains regions) of the second FET can be minimized due to the presence of the dielectric layer between two FETs.

The motivation/suggestion for doing so would be to improve the reliability of the print head (col. 5, lines 59-64, Saul).

In re claim 33, Bhaskar et al. in view of Saul also teach the claimed fluid ejection device (i.e. thermal ink jet print head) produced by the method of claim 19.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 24-31 are allowed.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Application/Control Number: 10/052,313 Page 7

Art Unit: 2823

The prior art of record, Gardner et al. (US 6,200,862), in Figs. 2A-2C, teaches a method of making a semiconductor device comprising:

- forming a gate oxide layer 22 on a first surface (i.e. top surface) of a substrate 10, adjacent to a deposited oxide layer 40 (Fig.2C);
- forming a pair of active areas 28/34 in the first surface, adjacent the deposited oxide layer 40 and gate oxide layer 22, wherein the active areas 28/34 are formed by impurity implant and diffusion;
- forming a gate electrode 24 by depositing a conductive layer over the gate oxide layer 22 (Fig.2A);
- depositing a dielectric layer 46 over the gate electrode 24, active areas 28/34, and deposited oxide layer 40; and
- forming electrical contacts 42/44 to the pair of active areas 28/34 and the gate electrode 24 (Fig.2C).

In contrast, Gardner et al. neither teach nor suggest exposing a portion of the first surface of the semiconductor substrate, wherein the first surface has an adjacent layer of deposited oxide and forming the pair of active areas in the exposed portion of the first surface. In other words, Gardner et al. teach forming the deposited oxide layer 40 *after* forming the active areas 28/34, whereas the instant invention teaches forming the deposited oxide layer proximate a first surface of the substrate and exposing a portion of the first surface of the substrate *prior to* forming the active areas.

Art Unit: 2823

# Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 1/9/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In re claims 7, 9-13 and 32, applicants argued that Gardner et al. fail to teach or suggest "depositing a layer of oxide proximate a first surface of a semiconductor substrate and forming a pair of active areas in the first surface, *adjacent the deposited oxide layer* and gate oxide layer" and the dielectric layer 40 is formed after forming the active areas (i.e. source/drain regions). (first and second paragraphs, page 15)

Contrary to the argument, Gardner et al., in Fig. 2C and related text, teach depositing a layer of oxide 40 (i.e. silicon oxide) proximate a first surface (i.e. top surface) of a semiconductor substrate 10 and forming a pair of active areas 28/34 in the first surface right under (i.e. adjacent) the deposited oxide layer 40 and also adjacent to the gate oxide layer 22, at least with a point contact.

As far as the assertion that Gardner et al. form the deposited oxide layer 40 after forming the active areas 28/34 is concerned, it is submitted that claim 7 dose **not** recite the **sequential order** of the formation of the active areas and the deposited oxide layer. It simply recites "depositing a layer of oxide proximate a first surface of a semiconductor substrate" and "forming a pair of active areas in the first surface, adjacent the deposited oxide layer and gate oxide layer."

In re claim 8, applicants argued that Liu does not remedy the deficiency of Gardner et al.

In response to applicant's argument, the rejection stated that with the combination of Gardner et al. and Liu, it would provide a better electrical insulation for adjacent layers.

Art Unit: 2823

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-23 and 33 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hsien-Ming Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1863. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00  $\sim$  5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 571-272-1855.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hsien-Ming Lee Examiner Art Unit 2823

March 30, 2004